

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,811	02/03/2004	Steve Wood	061270-0916	9544	
22428 75	590 06/03/2005		EXAM	INER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, KIEN T	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	TNW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		3714		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SY			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	;·			
	10/769,811	WOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kien T. Nguyen	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	•			
Period for Reply	IVIC CET TO EVOIDE AL	AONTH (C) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended p	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 i	February 2005.	·				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the ments	s is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 9-12,16 and 25-39 is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
s)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-12,16,25 and 35-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-34</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>26-34</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			.1(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	<u>.</u>			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.				
	<u> </u>					
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/769,811

Art Unit: 3714

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 37, line 1, "the hanger attachment" lacks antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-11, 16, 25, 35, 36, 38, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steiner U.S. Patent 2,769,276 in view of Leas et al U.s. Patent 5,135,233.

Steiner disclosed a mobile comprising a mounting bracket (22); a support arm (11) coupled to the mounting bracket and having a distal end (12); a hanger attachment (13) coupled to the support arm at the distal end, the hanger attachment being freely movable at the distal end (column 1, lines 64-65); a hanger (18) coupled to the hanger attachment; a decorative object (21) coupled to the hanger (applicant's claims 9, 25, 38, and 39). The hanger includes a plurality of radially extending arms (18) (applicant's claim 10). Each arm supports a respective decorative object (applicant's claim 11). The support arm is positionable above a crib that a child positioned therein (applicant's claim 16). It is noted that the hanger attachment of Steiner failed to show a socket ball and socket attachment as set forth in these claims. However, Leas et al disclosed a toy

Application/Control Number: 10/769,811 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

having a decorative object (17) with at least one support member connected to a support structure by a socket ball (33) and socket (29) as shown in Fig. 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the hanger attachment and support of Steiner with the socket ball and socket connection as taught by Leas et al for the purpose of providing a full rotation of the support arms.

Claims 9 and 12are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris U.S. Patent 5,370,570 in view of Leas et al U.S. Patent 5,135,233.

Harris disclosed a mobile comprising a mounting bracket (12); a support arm (26) coupled to the mounting bracket and having a distal end (26B); a hanger attachment (7) coupled to the support arm at the distal end and being freely movable at the distal end (column 5, lines 23-25); a hanger (6) coupled to the hanger attachment; a decorative object (5) coupled to the hanger; and the support arm (26) is rotatable in the mounting bracket at (20) (column 5, lines 53-54). It is noted that the hanger attachment of Harris failed to show a socket ball and socket attachment as set forth in these claims. However, Leas et al disclosed a toy having a decorative object (17) with at least one support member connected to a support structure by a socket ball (33) and socket (29) as shown in Fig. 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the hanger attachment and support of Harris with the socket ball and socket connection as taught by Leas et al for the purpose of providing a full rotation of the support arms.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-12, 16, 25-39 has been considered but is most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,811 Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen// Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714

Ktn